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## 235. Lowest Common Ancestor of a Binary Search Tree

Prompt: Given a binary search tree (BST), find the lowest common ancestor (LCA) node of two given nodes in the BST.
According to the definition of LCA on Wikipedia: "The lowest common ancestor is defined between two nodes p and q as the lowest node in T that has both p and q as descendants (where we allow a node to be a descendant of itself)."

## Constraints:

1. The number of nodes in the tree is in the range $[2,105]$.
2. $-109 \leq$ Node.val $\leq 109$
3. All Node.val are unique.
4. $\mathrm{p}!=\mathrm{q}$
5. p and q will exist in the BST.

## Solution:

Let the inputs be BST $B$, and $p, q \in V$ s.t. $p \neq q$.
Recall that the definition of a BST is that:

1. all elements in the left subtree of a node must be less than that element and all elements in the right subtree of a node must be less than that element.
2. all subtrees of the BST are also BST's
3. all elements in a BST are unique (can be derived from the other 2 properties).

We want the LCA $l$ such that $l$ is the lowest node in $B$ that has both $p$ and $q$ as descendants where a node can be a descendant of itself.

Let us define this more strictly.
Given a node in $n \in B$, we can recursively define its descendants as $\operatorname{DESC}(n)$ :

1. $\{l\} \in D E S C(l)$
2. if $n \in \operatorname{DESC}(n)$ then both its left child and its right child must also be in $\operatorname{DESC}(n)$.

Note that this means $\operatorname{DESC}(l)=\{l\} \cup D E S C($ left child of l$) \cup D E S C$ (right child of l$)$. Note that $\operatorname{DESC}($ left child of l$)$ is the left subtree of 1 and $D E S C$ (right child of l ) is the right subtree of 1 .

We also need to define what it means to be the lowest common ancestor of $p, q$ in $B . l$ is the lowest common ancestor of $p, q$ in $B$ if:

1. $l$ is a common ancestor of $p, q$
2. there exists no common ancestor $l^{\prime}$ of $p, q$ where $l^{\prime} \in D E S C(l)$ and $l^{\prime} \neq l$;

$$
\left.\exists l^{\prime} \in D E S C \text { (left child of } \mathrm{l}\right) \cup D E S C \text { (right child of l) s.t. } p, q \in D E S C\left(l^{\prime}\right)
$$

Realize that all nodes by definition must have the root of the tree as a common ancestor, so $p, q$ must at least have the common ancestor as the root. So $\exists$ at least one common ancestor of $p, q$. Let us call it $a$.
There are 2 cases:

1. Case 1: Either $p=a$ or $q=a$. WLOG say $p=a$. If this is the case then there cannot exist any $l^{\prime} \neq a \in \operatorname{DESC}(a)$ s.t. $p \in \operatorname{DESC}\left(l^{\prime}\right)$. Else the value $p$ must appear twice in the tree, which contradicts the definition of BST. So $a$ must be the lowest common ancestor in this case.
2. Case 2: $p \neq a$ and $q \neq a$. If this is the case then $p \in \operatorname{DESC}(a) \backslash\{a\}$ and $q \in$ $\operatorname{DESC}(a) \backslash\{a\}$. Note that

$$
D E S C(a) \backslash\{a\}=D E S C(\text { left child of a) } \cup D E S C(\text { right child of a })
$$

So $p, q \in D E S C$ (left child of a) $\cup D E S C$ (right child of a). Then consider the following subcases:
(a) Subcase 2.a: p,q in the same subtree of a; $p, q \in D E S C$ (left child of a) or $p, q \in D E S C$ (right child of a).
WLOG say $p, q \in D E S C$ (left child of a). Let this left child of $a$ be called $a^{\prime}$. By definition of common ancestor, $a^{\prime}$ is a common ancestor of $p, q$ as $p, q \in \operatorname{DESC}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$.

Further, as $a^{\prime}$ is the left child of a then $a^{\prime} \in \operatorname{DESC}(a)$ and $a^{\prime} \neq a$ which means $a$ cannot be the lowest common ancestor of $p, q$. Rather, $a^{\prime}$ is a lower common ancestor of $p, q$.
We must investigate $\operatorname{DESC}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$ to see if there is an even lower common ancestor of $p, q$. That is, the lowest common ancestor of $p, q$ must be in the left subtree of a.

Note that if we had taken $p, q \in D E S C$ (right child of a) then similar logic would conclude that $p, q$ must be in the right subtree of a.
(b) Subcase 2.b: p,q in different subtrees of a; WLOG say $p \in D E S C$ (left child of a) but $q \in D E S C$ (right child of a).
By definition of BST, all elements in the left subtree of a must be $<a$ and all elements in the right subtree of a must be $>a$. Then $p<a$ and $q>a$.

Pick an arbitrary element $a^{\prime} \in D E S C$ (left child of a) $\cup D E S C$ (right child of a). By definition of $\cup$ either $a^{\prime} \in$ (left subtree of a) or $a^{\prime} \in$ right subtree of a. Then consider the cases:
i. $a^{\prime} \in$ left subtree of a. Then all nodes in $\operatorname{DESC}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$ are also in the left subtree of a by the structure of a BST. But $q \in$ right subtree of a so $q \notin$ left subtree of a (as $q>a)$. Then $q \notin \operatorname{DESC}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$ so $a^{\prime}$ is not an ancestor of $q$ and thus cannot be a lowest common ancestor of $p, q$.
ii. $a^{\prime} \in$ right subtree of a. Then all nodes in $\operatorname{DESC}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$ are also in the right subtree of a by the structure of a BST. But $p \in$ left subtree of a so $p \notin$ right subtree of a (as $p<a)$. Then $p \notin \operatorname{DESC}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$ so $a^{\prime}$ is not an ancestor of $p$ and thus cannot be a lowest common ancestor of $p, q$.
Then it cannot be the case that $a^{\prime}$ is a common ancestor of $p, q$.

So $\exists \not a^{\prime} \in D E S C\left(\right.$ left child of a) $\cup D E S C$ (right child of a) s.t. $p, q \in \operatorname{DESC}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$. Then by definition of lowest common ancesetor, a is the lowest common ancestor of $p, q$.

Then we can take an algorithm that starts at the root (which must be a common ancestor of $p, q$ ) and follows the case logic described and we should eventually reach either case 1 or subcase $2 . \mathrm{b}$ which will stop and correctly return the lowest common ancestor of $p, q$.

Note that the algorithm will reach one of these subcases. If the algorithm does not stop then $\exists$ a subtree in $B$ where $p, q$ are on opposite sides of the subtree's root. But this would imply that $p=q$ which contradicts our input constraints that $p \neq q$. Therefore the algorithm must terminate.

